

| | | | |
|---|-------------------|----------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 09/927,616 | TRIPLETT ET AL | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Georgia L. Helmer | 1638 | |

All Participants:
Status of Application: amendment after final

 (1) David T. Fox.

(3) _____.

 (2) Sara Vinarov.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 9 February 2005
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant's representative was notified of delay in handling after final amendment of 15 November 2004, and was informed that a 3-month extension of time and a Notice of Appeal must be filed by February 12, 2005 in order to keep the application alive.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)